Carvers Bay High 13002 Choppee Road Hemingway, South Carolina 29554

Grades 9-12 High School

Enrollment 515 Students

Principal Daryl C. Brown 843-558-6920

Superintendent Dr. H. Randall Dozier 843–436–7000

Board Chair Mr. Joe M. Crosby 843–436–7000

The State of South Carolina

Annual School Report Card

2005

ABSOLUTE RATING

GOOD

Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours

(xcellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory
1 5 2 9 7

IMPROVEMENT RATING

BELOW AVERAGE

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

YES

This school met 13 out of 13 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

> www.myscschools.com www.sceoc.org

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2002	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	Good	N/A	Yes
2004	Excellent	Excellent	Yes
2005	Good	Below Average	Yes

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS								
		Our School			High Schools with Students Like Ours			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006		
Passed 2 subtests	72.8	76.8	N/A	63.3	54.0	N/A		
Passed 1 subtest	13.6	9.6	N/A	18.5	22.2	N/A		
Passed no subtests	13.6	13.6	N/A	18.2	23.7	N/A		

EXIT EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 200	5	
	Our School	High Schools with
		Students Like Ours
Percent	95.3%	89.9%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP								
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours						
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	7.0	4.3						
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	7.0	4.7						
Seniors who met the grade point average	35.1	30.5						

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Number of Students	115	93
Number of Diplomas	99	136
Rate	86.1%	70.5%

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS

	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2005		Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Gra	Graduation Rate			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective		
All Students	106	95.3	114	7.0	115	86.1	YES		
Gender									
Male	53	94.3	54	9.3	63	85.7	N/A		
Female	53	96.2	60	5.0	52	86.5	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	22	100.0	17	29.4	20	95.0	N/A		
African American	84	94.0	97	3.1	95	84.2	N/A		
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
Hispanic	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
Non disabled	98	96.9	N/A	N/A	103	93.2	N/A		
Disabilities other than speech	8	I/S	18	0.0	12	25.0	N/A		
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	106	95.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
Non-Limited English Proficient	106	95.3	N/A	N/A	115	86.1	N/A		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	74	93.2	89	4.5	85	84.7	N/A		
Full-pay meals	32	100.0	N/A	N/A	30	90.0	N/A		

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

Carvers bay riigii									Ζ.
HSAP PERFORMANCE BY GR	OUP ,	Щ,		щ,	Щ,	Щ,		Щ,	Щ,
	Enrollment 1st	8	% Below Basic	કુ /	/ ,	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objection	Participation Objective
	## E	% Tested	'/&	% Basic	% Proficient	· / 🖁	} / j }	ē / ē ;	
	1 4 6		§	/ %	\frac{1}{2}	/ 👸		[] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] []	
	\$ E	/ %	/ %	/ "	/ %	/ %	1 % P	å å	` &**
	\int_{-2}^{2}		/	/	/	/	3.4		
	:ngiisn/Lan	guage An		Performa					VE0
All Students	125	97.6	14.4	38.1	29.7	17.8	59.3	YES	YES
Gender Male	65	95.4	12.1	27.7	34.4	14.8	60.7	N/A	N/A
rviale Female	60	100.0	13.1 15.8	37.7 38.6	24.6	21.1	60.7 57.9	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group	00	100.0	13.6	36.0	24.0	21.1	37.9	IN/A	IN/A
White	21	95.2	5.3	15.8	26.3	52.6	94.7	I/S	I/S
African American	104	98.1	16.2	42.4	30.3	11.1	52.5	YES	YES
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status		1471	1471	1471	1471	1471		., 0	1,0
Not Disabled	108	99.1	4.9	40.8	34.0	20.4	67.0	N/A	N/A
Disabled	17	88.2	80.0	20.0	N/A	N/A	6.7	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	125	97.6	14.4	38.1	29.7	17.8	59.3	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	125	97.6	14.4	38.1	29.7	17.8	59.3	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	103	98.1	15.2	39.4	29.3	16.2	56.6	YES	YES
Full-pay meals	22	95.5	10.5	31.6	31.6	26.3	73.7	N/A	N/A
	Mathematic	cs - State	Performa	ance Obje	ective = 30	0.0%			
All Students	125	97.6	19.5	44.1	22.0	14.4	53.4	YES	YES
Gender									
Male	65	95.4	18.0	36.1	29.5	16.4	62.3	N/A	N/A
Female	60	100.0	21.1	52.6	14.0	12.3	43.9	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	21	95.2	5.3	36.8	15.8	42.1	73.7	I/S	I/S
African American	104	98.1	22.2	45.5	23.2	9.1	49.5	YES	YES
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status	100					10.5			
Not Disabled	108	99.1	10.7	47.6	25.2	16.5	60.2	N/A	N/A
Disabled	17	88.2	80.0	20.0	N/A	N/A	6.7	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status		NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A
Migrant	125	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant English Proficiency	125	97.6	19.5	44.1	22.0	14.4	53.4	N/A	N/A
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	125	97.6	19.5	44.1	22.0	14.4	53.4	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status	120	31.0	19.0	44.1	22.0	14.4	JJ.4	IN/A	IN/A
Subsidized meals	103	98.1	21.2	44.4	23.2	11.1	49.5	YES	YES
Full-pay meals	22	95.5	10.5	42.1	15.8	31.6	73.7	N/A	N/A
i uii puy ilicais	1 44	1 30.0	1 10.5	74.1	1 10.0	1 01.0	1 10.1	11//1	11//1

Students (n=515) Change from Last Year High Schools with Students (Like Ours) Median High Schools with Students (Like Ours) Retention rate 12.0% Up from 11.8% 11.3% 8.1% Attendance rate 96.1% Down from 96.2% 95.5% 95.6% Eligible for gifted and talented 0.0% No change 1.4% 5.9% With disabilities other than speech 18.1% Up from 15.0% 15.2% 13.3% Older than usual for grade 15.5% Up from 13.0% 14.0% 10.1% Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent & for criminal offenses 28.6% Up from 11.7% 4.6% 9.7% Successful on AP/IB exams 28.6% Up from 11.7% 4.6% 9.7% Successful on AP/IB exams 28.6% Up from 17.6% 27.3% 53.7% Annual dropout rate 1.1% Down from 2.4% 3.0% 2.2% 3.1% Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations 92.0% Up from 9.3% 224 431 Students participating in worked-based experiences 92.0% Up from 88.2%	SCHOOL PROFILE				
Retention rate	Charles (as EAE)			Schools with Students	High
Attendance rate 96.1% Down from 96.2% 95.5% 95.6%	,				- 101
Eligible for gifted and talented					
With disabilities other than speech 18.1% Up from 15.3% 15.2% 13.3% Older than usual for grade 15.5% Up from 13.0% 14.0% 10.1% Out-off-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses 16.3% Up from 11.7% 4.6% 9.7% Enrolled in AP/IB programs 16.3% Up from 11.7% 4.6% 9.7% Annual dropout rate 1.1% Down from 1.2% 3.7% 3.0% Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations 4.3% Down from 5.0% 2.2% 3.1% Enrollment in career/technology students mastering core experiences 92.0% Up from 9.3% 27.6% 23.4% Experiences 2.2meer/technology students mastering core experiences 92.0% Up from 88.2% 76.6% 78.6% Career/technology completers placed 100.0% No change 100.0% 99.4% Teachers with advanced degrees 37.5% Down from 40.0% 48.1% 54.5% Continuing contract teachers 77.5% Down from 99.0% 87.5% 89.1% Highly qualified teachers <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
Dider than usual for grade	0 0				
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses Down from 2.4% 3.0% 2.0% Enrolled in AP/IB programs 16.3% Up from 11.7% 4.6% 9.7% Successful on AP/IB exams 28.6% Up from 17.6% 27.3% 53.7% Annual dropout rate 1.1% Down from 1.2% 3.7% 3.0% Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations 4.3% Down from 5.0% 2.2% 3.1% Enrollment in career/technology center courses 324 Down from 338 284 431 Students participating in worked-based experiences 9.7% Up from 9.3% 27.6% 23.4% Career/technology students mastering core competencies 92.0% Up from 88.2% 76.6% 78.6% Career/technology completers placed 100.0% No change 100.0% 99.4% Teachers with advanced degrees 37.5% Down from 40.0% 48.1% 54.5% Continuing contract teachers 77.5% Down from 80.0% 70.3% 78.6% Highly qualified teachers 93.5% Down from 95.0% 87.5% <td>•</td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td>	•		•		
Successful on AP/IB exams 28.6% Up from 17.6% 27.3% 53.7% Annual dropout rate 1.1% Down from 1.2% 3.7% 3.0% Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations 4.3% Down from 5.0% 2.2% 3.1% Enrollment in career/technology center courses 324 Down from 338 284 431 Students participating in worked-based experiences 9.7% Up from 9.3% 27.6% 23.4% Earcer/technology students mastering core competencies 92.0% Up from 88.2% 76.6% 78.6% Career/technology completers placed 100.0% No change 100.0% 99.4% Ieachers with advanced degrees 37.5% Down from 40.0% 48.1% 54.5% Continuing contract teachers 77.5% Down from 90.0% 87.5% 89.1% Highly qualified teachers 93.5% Down from 95.0% 87.5% 89.1% Teachers with advanced degrees 37.5% Down from 90.0% 70.3% 78.6% Highly qualified teachers 93.5% Down from 90.0% 87.5%	Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for				
Annual dropout rate 1.1% Down from 1.2% 3.7% 3.0% Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations 4.3% Down from 5.0% 2.2% 3.1% Enrollment in career/technology center courses 324 Down from 338 284 431 Students participating in worked-based experiences 9.7% Up from 9.3% 27.6% 23.4% Career/technology students mastering core competencies 92.0% Up from 88.2% 76.6% 78.6% Career/technology completers placed 100.0% No change 100.0% 99.4% Eachers (n=40) 100.0% No change 100.0% 99.4% Teachers with advanced degrees 37.5% Down from 40.0% 48.1% 54.5% Continuing contract teachers 77.5% Down from 90.0% 70.3% 78.6% Highly qualified teachers 93.5% Down from 95.0% 87.5% 89.1% Teacher seturning from previous year 92.1% Down from 92.7% 82.9% 86.9% Teacher attendance rate 92.5% Up from 91.1% 95.1% 95.1%	Enrolled in AP/IB programs	16.3%	Up from 11.7%	4.6%	9.7%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations 4.3% Down from 5.0% 2.2% 3.1% Enrollment in career/technology center courses 324 Down from 338 284 431 Students participating in worked-based experiences 9.7% Up from 9.3% 27.6% 23.4% Career/technology students mastering core competencies 92.0% Up from 88.2% 76.6% 78.6% Career/technology completers placed 100.0% No change 100.0% 99.4% Feachers (n= 40) Feachers with advanced degrees 37.5% Down from 40.0% 48.1% 54.5% Continuing contract teachers 77.5% Down from 80.0% 70.3% 78.6% Continuing contract teachers 77.5% Down from 95.0% 87.5% 89.1% Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates 83.3% Up from 95.0% 87.5% 89.1% Teachers returning from previous year 92.1% Down from 92.7% 82.9% 86.9% Teacher attendance rate 92.5% Up from 91.1% 95.1% 95.4% Average teacher salary \$42,935	Successful on AP/IB exams	28.6%	Up from 17.6%	27.3%	53.7%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses 324 Down from 338 284 431 431 231 232 248	Annual dropout rate	1.1%	Down from 1.2%	3.7%	3.0%
Students participating in worked-based experiences 9.7% Up from 9.3% 27.6% 23.4%	organizations				
experiences Career/technology students mastering core competencies 92.0% competencies Up from 88.2% 76.6% 78.6% competencies Career/technology completers placed 100.0% No change 100.0% 99.4% Ieachers (m= 40) Teachers with advanced degrees 37.5% Down from 40.0% 48.1% 54.5% Continuing contract teachers 77.5% Down from 80.0% 70.3% 78.6% Highly qualified teachers 93.5% Down from 95.0% 87.5% 89.1% Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates 8.3% Up from 5.6% 17.3% 9.1% Teachers returning from previous year 92.1% Down from 92.7% 82.9% 86.9% Teacher attendance rate 92.5% Up from 91.1% 95.1% 95.4% Average teacher salary \$42,935 Down 2.5% \$41,161 \$42,426 Prof. development days/teacher 17.2 days Up from 13.7 days 13.1 days 10.9 days School Principal's years at school 5.0 Up from 66.1% 88.8% 89.3%	courses				
Career/technology completers placed 100.0% No change 100.0% 99.4% Teachers (n= 40) Teachers with advanced degrees 37.5% Down from 40.0% 48.1% 54.5% Continuing contract teachers 77.5% Down from 80.0% 70.3% 78.6% Highly qualified teachers 93.5% Down from 95.0% 87.5% 89.1% Teachers with emergency or provisional earlificates Good No change Good Excellent Parents attending conferences S42,935 Down 2.5% S41,161 S42,426 Prof. development days/teacher 17.2 days Up from 4.0 2.0 3.0 State Objective Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools S5.8% Up from 70.1% 83.4% 91.1% SACS accreditation Sacs accreditation	experiences		•	,	
Teachers (n= 40) Teachers with advanced degrees 37.5% Down from 40.0% 48.1% 54.5% Continuing contract teachers 77.5% Down from 80.0% 70.3% 78.6% Highly qualified teachers 93.5% Down from 95.0% 87.5% 89.1% Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates 8.3% Up from 5.6% 17.3% 9.1% Teachers returning from previous year 92.1% Down from 92.7% 82.9% 86.9% Teacher attendance rate 92.5% Up from 91.1% 95.1% 95.4% Average teacher salary \$42,935 Down 2.5% \$41,161 \$42,426 Prof. development days/teacher 17.2 days Up from 13.7 days 13.1 days 10.9 days School Principal's years at school 5.0 Up from 4.0 2.0 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 21.0 to 1 Down from 24.1 to 1 23.7 to 1 25.8 to 1 Prime instructional time 87.3% Up from 86.1% 88.8% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil* <t< td=""><td>competencies</td><td></td><td>·</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	competencies		·		
Teachers with advanced degrees 37.5% Down from 40.0% 48.1% 54.5% Continuing contract teachers 77.5% Down from 80.0% 70.3% 78.6% Highly qualified teachers 93.5% Down from 95.0% 87.5% 89.1% Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates 8.3% Up from 5.6% 17.3% 9.1% Teachers returning from previous year 92.1% Down from 92.7% 82.9% 86.9% Teacher attendance rate 92.5% Up from 91.1% 95.1% 95.4% Average teacher salary \$42,935 Down 2.5% \$41,161 \$42,426 Prof. development days/teacher 17.2 days Up from 13.7 days 13.1 days 10.9 days School Principal's years at school 5.0 Up from 4.0 2.0 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 21.0 to 1 Down from 24.1 to 1 23.7 to 1 25.8 to 1 Prime instructional time 87.3% Up from 86.1% 88.8% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil* \$8,595 Down 1.8%		100.0%	No change	100.0%	99.4%
Continuing contract teachers 77.5% Down from 80.0% 70.3% 78.6% Highly qualified teachers 93.5% Down from 95.0% 87.5% 89.1% Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates 8.3% Up from 5.6% 17.3% 9.1% Teachers returning from previous year 92.1% Down from 92.7% 82.9% 86.9% Teacher attendance rate 92.5% Up from 91.1% 95.1% 95.4% Average teacher salary \$42,935 Down 2.5% \$41,161 \$42,426 Prof. development days/teacher 17.2 days Up from 13.7 days 13.1 days 10.9 days School Principal's years at school 5.0 Up from 4.0 2.0 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 21.0 to 1 Down from 24.1 to 1 23.7 to 1 25.8 to 1 Prime instructional time 87.3% Up from 86.1% 88.8% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil* \$8,595 Down 1.8% \$7,372 \$6,422 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 53.8% Up from 70.1% 83.4	, ,				
Highly qualified teachers 93.5% Down from 95.0% 87.5% 89.1%					
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates Teachers returning from previous year 92.1% Down from 92.7% 82.9% 86.9% Teacher attendance rate 92.5% Up from 91.1% 95.1% 95.4% Average teacher salary \$42,935 Down 2.5% \$41,161 \$42,426 Prof. development days/teacher 17.2 days Up from 13.7 days 13.1 days 10.9 days School Principal's years at school 5.0 Up from 4.0 2.0 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 21.0 to 1 Down from 24.1 to 1 23.7 to 1 25.8 to 1 Prime instructional time 87.3% Up from 86.1% 88.8% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil* \$8,595 Down 1.8% \$7,372 \$6,422 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* Good No change Good Excellent Parents attending conferences 78.2% Up from 70.1% 83.4% 91.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent Prior year audited financial data are reported. Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools 95.3% 90.1% Wet State Objective Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes	· ·				
Teacher attendance rate 92.5% Up from 91.1% 95.1% 95.4% Average teacher salary \$42,935 Down 2.5% \$41,161 \$42,426 Prof. development days/teacher 17.2 days Up from 13.7 days 13.1 days 10.9 days School Principal's years at school 5.0 Up from 4.0 2.0 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 21.0 to 1 Down from 24.1 to 1 23.7 to 1 25.8 to 1 Prime instructional time 87.3% Up from 86.1% 88.8% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil* \$8,595 Down 1.8% \$7,372 \$6,422 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 53.8% Up from 53.6% 54.5% 57.7% Opportunities in the arts Good No change Good Excellent Parents attending conferences 78.2% Up from 70.1% 83.4% 91.1% SACS accreditation Yes Ves Ves Character development program *Prior year audited financial data are reported. Excellent Up from G	Teachers with emergency or provisional				
Prof. development days/teacher 17.2 days Up from 13.7 days 13.1 days 10.9 days School Principal's years at school 5.0 Up from 4.0 2.0 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 21.0 to 1 Down from 24.1 to 1 23.7 to 1 25.8 to 1 Prime instructional time 87.3% Up from 86.1% 88.8% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil* \$8,595 Down 1.8% \$7,372 \$6,422 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 53.8% Up from 53.6% 54.5% 57.7% Opportunities in the arts Good No change Good Excellent Parents attending conferences 78.2% Up from 70.1% 83.4% 91.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program *Prior year audited financial data are reported. Excellent Up from Good Good Good *Prior year audited financial data are reported. Our District State Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools 95.3% 90.1% Highly					
School Principal's years at school 5.0 Up from 4.0 2.0 3.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 21.0 to 1 Down from 24.1 to 1 23.7 to 1 25.8 to 1 Prime instructional time 87.3% Up from 86.1% 88.8% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil* \$8,595 Down 1.8% \$7,372 \$6,422 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 53.8% Up from 53.6% 54.5% 57.7% Opportunities in the arts Good No change Good Excellent Parents attending conferences 78.2% Up from 70.1% 83.4% 91.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program *Prior year audited financial data are reported. Excellent Up from Good Good Good * Prior year audited financial data are reported. Our District State Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools 95.0% 89.4% Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools 95.3% 90.1% Wet State Objec	,	, ,		, , .	, , .
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 21.0 to 1 Down from 24.1 to 1 23.7 to 1 25.8 to 1 Prime instructional time 87.3% Up from 86.1% 88.8% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil* \$8,595 Down 1.8% \$7,372 \$6,422 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 53.8% Up from 53.6% 54.5% 57.7% Opportunities in the arts Good No change Good Excellent Parents attending conferences 78.2% Up from 70.1% 83.4% 91.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program *Prior year audited financial data are reported. Excellent Up from Good Good Good *Prior year audited financial data are reported. Our District State Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools 95.0% 89.4% Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools 95.3% 90.1% Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes	School			,	·
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 21.0 to 1 Down from 24.1 to 1 23.7 to 1 25.8 to 1 Prime instructional time 87.3% Up from 86.1% 88.8% 89.3% Dollars spent per pupil* \$8,595 Down 1.8% \$7,372 \$6,422 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 53.8% Up from 53.6% 54.5% 57.7% Opportunities in the arts Good No change Good Excellent Parents attending conferences 78.2% Up from 70.1% 83.4% 91.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program *Prior year audited financial data are reported. Excellent Up from Good Good Good *Prior year audited financial data are reported. Our District State Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools 95.0% 89.4% Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools 95.3% 90.1% Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes	Principal's years at school	5.0	Up from 4.0	2.0	3.0
Dollars spent per pupil* \$8,595 Down 1.8% \$7,372 \$6,422 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 53.8% Up from 53.6% 54.5% 57.7% Opportunities in the arts Good No change Good Excellent Parents attending conferences 78.2% Up from 70.1% 83.4% 91.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent *Prior year audited financial data are reported.* *Prior year audited financial data are reported. *Our District State Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools 95.0% 89.4% Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools 95.3% 90.1% *Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes				23.7 to 1	
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* 53.8% Up from 53.6% 54.5% 57.7% Opportunities in the arts Good No change Good Excellent Parents attending conferences 78.2% Up from 70.1% 83.4% 91.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent Prior year audited financial data are reported. Our District State	Prime instructional time	87.3%	Up from 86.1%	88.8%	89.3%
Opportunities in the arts Good No change Good Excellent Parents attending conferences 78.2% Up from 70.1% 83.4% 91.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent Prior year audited financial data are reported. Our District State	Dollars spent per pupil*	\$8,595	Down 1.8%	\$7,372	\$6,422
Parents attending conferences 78.2% Up from 70.1% 83.4% 91.1% SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program *Prior year audited financial data are reported. Excellent Up from Good Good Good * Bitate Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools 95.0% 89.4% Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools 95.3% 90.1% * State Objective Met State Objective Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes	Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	53.8%	Up from 53.6%	54.5%	57.7%
SACS accreditation Yes No change Yes Yes Character development program Excellent Prior year audited financial data are reported. *Prior year audited financial data are reported. *Dur District State Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools 95.0% 89.4% Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools 95.3% 90.1% *State Objective Met State Objective Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes	Opportunities in the arts	Good	No change	Good	Excellent
*Prior year audited financial data are reported. **Our District State* Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools 95.0% 89.4% Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools 95.3% 90.1% **State Objective Met State Objective Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes*					
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools 95.0% 89.4% Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools 95.3% 90.1% State Objective Met State Objective Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes		Excellent	Up from Good	Good	Good
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools 95.3% 90.1% State Objective Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes			Our District	St	ate
Highly qualified teachers in this school State Objective 65.0% Met State Objective Yes	Highly qualified teachers in low poverty school	ols	95.0%	89	.4%
Highly qualified teachers in this school 65.0% Yes	Highly qualified teachers in high poverty scho	ols	95.3%	90	.1%
3 7 1			State Objective	Met State	Objective
Student attendance in this school 95.3% Yes	Highly qualified teachers in this school		65.0%	Y	es
	Student attendance in this school		95.3%	Υ	es

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Carvers Bay High School continues to strive for excellence. Through the efforts of a dedicated faculty, staff, and student body, this quest has proven to produce both academic and athletic gains for the 2004-05 school year.

For the second year in a row, Carvers Bay High School has met Adequate Yearly Progress. In addition, we received excellent absolute and improvement ratings on our report card.

Out of 117 seniors who graduated from Carvers Bay High School, eight achieved a score of more than 1100 on the SAT. Students who participated in the Coastal Carolina University Mathematics Challenge placed third.

Carvers Bay High School has been designated a High Schools That Work site, an intense project over the next several years. Also, our school was one of seven high schools across the state whose English teachers participated in the development of an English II curriculum under the aegis of the South Carolina State Department of Education.

While the focus at Carvers Bay High School is academics, our athletes also performed an outstanding job. Several teams went to the region play-offs, while several student athletes signed college scholarships.

Daryl C. Brown, Principal

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS								
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*					
Number of surveys returned	44	83	3					
Percent satisfied with learning environment	100.0%	82.7%	I/S					
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	95.5%	69.1%	I/S					
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	63.6%	84.0%	I/S					

^{*}Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.